## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

MARY JANE JACKSON,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Case No.
NAPLETON ST. LOUIS IMPORTS, LLC d/b/a NAPLETON ST. LOUIS NISSAN,	) ) )	Jury Trial Demanded
Defendant.	)	

## **COMPLAINT**

**COMES NOW** Plaintiff, by and through undersigned counsel, and for her Complaint, states as follows:

- Plaintiff is an individual residing in St. Louis County, State of Missouri.
   (hereinafter "Plaintiff").
- 2. Napleton St. Louis Imports, LLC d/b/a Napleton St. Louis Nissan (hereinafter "Defendant") is an Illinois limited liability company registered with the State of Missouri Secretary of State and doing business in St. Louis County, State of Missouri, as Napleton St. Louis Nissan at a facility located at 10964 Page Ave., St. Louis, Missouri 63132 where Plaintiff was located for the duration of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant.
- 3. Plaintiff filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity

  Commission ("EEOC") on June 21, 2021 against Defendant alleging age discrimination.

  The EEOC issued its "Right to Sue" letter on September 30, 2022. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's EEOC charge is attached hereto as Exhibit A, *See Exhibit A attached hereto*.

- 4. This action is based on the EEOC charge attached hereto as Exhibit A provided pursuant to 42 USC 2000e, et. seq., known as The Civil Rights Act of 1964. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 42 USC 2000e, et. seq. and 28 USC 1331. See Exhibit A attached hereto.
- 5. 42 USC 2000e-5(f)3 provides this action may be brought in any judicial district in the State in which the unlawful employment practice is alleged to have been committed, in this case St. Louis County, State of Missouri, located in the Eastern District of Missouri.
- 6. Defendant operates a facility in St. Louis County, State of Missouri where Plaintiff was employed.
  - 7. Plaintiff, 62, is a female formerly employed by Defendant.
- 8. Plaintiff was the subject of ongoing and continuous age discrimination by Defendant.
- 9. Plaintiff interviewed with Defendant to work in accounts payable or as a title clerk and was hired by Defendant on, or about, March 1, 2021 to work in accounts payable and, subsequently, as a title clerk.
- 10. In continuous and ongoing fashion, certain employees of Defendant, including Plaintiff's supervisors, soon thereafter were overly harsh, critical, and unjustified in their negative and derogatory assessment of Plaintiff's older age in connection with her jobs in accounts payable and as a title clerk.
- 11. Because of Plaintiff's older age, Plaintiff was assigned to a less desirable cashier position when Defendant replaced Plaintiff with a substantially younger woman as a title clerk.
- 12. Because of Plaintiff's older age, Defendant then illegally and improperly tried to force Plaintiff to transfer to another less desirable cashier related job she did not want at another Hyundai dealership owned by Defendant.

- 13. Plaintiff's supervisor employed with Defendant told Plaintiff that she was being transferred to the Hyundai dealership solely because of her older age, and that Defendant preferred younger people at the Nissan dealer.
- 14. Plaintiff was over 60 years of age at the time of this discriminatory treatment by Defendant and was clearly older than almost every other employee known to Plaintiff.
- 15. Based on comments and actions regarding Plaintiff's age, Plaintiff was clearly the target of continuous and ongoing negative behaviors because of age discrimination by Defendant and its employees and supervisors. Plaintiff was denied the opportunity for employment advancement in her chosen occupation because of age discrimination by Defendant and its employees and supervisors.
- 16. Because of the continuous and ongoing wrongful age discrimination conduct by Defendant and its employees and supervisors Plaintiff was forced to endure for several months up to May 13, 2021, Plaintiff was forced to quit her employment and was wrongfully and illegally and constructively discharged on May 13, 2021.
- 17. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional trauma and harm and other damages in violation of 42 USC 2000e, et. seq. and is entitled to relief under 42 USC 2000e, et. seq.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court find in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in the amount of at least \$1,000,000.00, plus punitive damages in the amount of at least \$1,000,000.00, attorney's fees as appropriate and other relief as is just and equitable.

Case: 4:22-cv-01381-PLC Doc. #: 1 Filed: 12/27/22 Page: 4 of 5 PageID #: 4

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J. Christopher Wehrle
James Christopher Wehrle, #45592MO
WEHRLE LAW LLC
2601 S. Hanley Rd.
St. Louis, Missouri 63144
(314) 272-4113
(314) 272-4107 Facsimile
chris@wehrlelaw.com

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)				
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge F	Presented To: A	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act		FEPA		
Statement and other information before completing this form.	x	EEOC		
			and EEOC	
State or local Ager	ncv if any		and EEOC	
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	loy, ii diliy	Home Phone (Incl. Area C	Code) Date of Birth	
Mary Jane Jackson ("Charging Party" or "CP")		618-615-2927	11/02/60	
	and ZIP Code			
2931 Bienvenido, Apt. 2, St. Louis, MO 63125				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeshi	n Committee or Sta	ate or Local Governmen		
Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS		ate of Local Governmen	Tragency That I Believe	
Name	No. Employees, Members		Phone No. (Include Area Code)	
Napleton Nissan ("Employer")	40 (Est.)		573-581-8202	
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code			
10964 Page Ave., St. Louis, MO 63132				
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)	
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code			
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)  DATE(S) DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE Earliest Latest			IMINATION TOOK PLACE Latest	
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION NATIONAL ORIGIN March 1, 2021 May 13, 2021				
RETALIATION X AGE DISABILITY GENETIC INFORMATION  OTHER (Specify)  X CONTINUING ACTION				
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):				
CP was hired on, or about, March 1, 2021 to work in accounts payable. CP was then assigned to work as a				
title clerk. CP was then assigned as a cashier because Employer replaced CP with a younger woman for the				
position of title clerk. On, or about, May 11, 2021, Employer asked CP to relocate to the Napleton Hyundai				
dealer in Hazelwood, Missouri. Employer did not know where at the Hyundai dealer CP would be assigned.				
CP asked her supervisor at Napleton Nissan where she would be working at the Hyundai dealer. Employer				
gave CP a contact name at the Hyundai dealer to whom she would report at the Hyundai dealer. Employer told CP that she would a "swing shift" cashier at the Hyundai dealer. Co-workers of CP at the Nissan dealer				
told CP that she would like the Hyundai dealer because it has an "older crowd" in the office. Because of				
Employer's discrimination against CP because of her age, CP left Employer on, or about, May 13, 2021.				
Cashier is not what CP interviewed or hired on to do with Employer. CP hired on to Employer to work in				
accounts payable or as a title clerk. CP was bumped from title clerk to cashier at another dealer because the title clerk job at Employer was given to a person about 40 years younger than CP. Employer told CP that CP				
was being moved to Hyundai solely because of her older age, that Employer preferred younger people. CP				
was removed from title clerk solely because of her older age. CP clearly suffered age discrimination and has				
been damaged because of this conduct by Employer.				
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will	NOTARY – When ne	ecessary for State and Loca	al Agency Requirements	
cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their				
procedures.			ve charge and that it is true to	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	SIGNATURE OF CO	owledge, information an DMPLAINANT	u pellel.	
Ann. A. Andre	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE			
Jun 21, 2021 Mary Jane Jackson (Jun 21, 2021 11:59 CDT)	(month, day, year)			
Date Charging Party Signature				